

Pendal Monthly Commentary

Pendal Australian Tax Effective Income Portfolio

June 2022

Market commentary

The US Federal Reserve's determination to bring inflation under control is stoking fears of recession. This was exacerbated in June by a CPI print which came in slightly higher than expected and showed inflation broadening across categories.

The Fed hiked rates 75bps in June and indicated that they could do the same again in July. They are now signalling that they could end the year at 3.4%, according to the "dot plot" of expected moves. Three months ago, this figure was 1.9%.

Other central banks are striking a similarly hawkish tone with regard to the pace of rate hikes. The Reserve Bank of Australia hiked 50bps.

This saw sharp falls in equity markets, as investors start to factor in the risk of earnings downgrades. It also saw a rotation away from value and the more cyclical sectors.

Australia underperformed as a result, with the S&P/ASX 300 off -9.1%. The S&P 500 fell -8.4% and the Euro Stoxx -8.8%. However the Australian market has still outperformed over the calendar year to date.

The two largest sectors of the Australian market - which had held up well thus far - were both hit hard. Materials fared worst as commodity prices tumbled and dragged on the miners. Iron ore fell -11.9% and copper -12.6%. BHP (BHP, -7.5%) held up better than most of the other miners, which saw double digit falls. The copper and lithium miners underperformed, having been among the sector better performers previously.

Financials fell as the RBA's rate hike triggered fears of domestic recession and saw some shorting of Australian banks, particularly by international investors. ANZ (ANZ, -12.0%) held up best of the Big Four, while Westpac (WBC, -18.3) fared worst. The insurers held up much better, with QBE (QBE, +1.0%) managing a small advance.

Consumer Staples held up well as investors sought defensive pockets. Woolworths (WOW, +2.7%), Coles (COL, +1.6%) and Endeavour (EDV, +4.3%), the three largest stocks in the sector, all made gains.

Energy also outperformed and held up well despite Brent crude oil falling -6.5%, thermal coal -9.6% and natural gas -23.2%. This was largely due to strength in the newly rechristened Woodside Energy (WDS, +7.0%), the largest stock in the sector. It did well in the wake of the tie up with BHP's oil and gas assets. Other energy producers such as Santos (STO, -9.5%) were softer. The fuel retailers such as Ampol (ALD, +2.6%) and Viva Energy (VEA, +1.8%) did well on the back of recovering volumes and strong refining margins.

Portfolio overview

Australian Tax Effective Portfolio	
Investment strategy	Dual focus: Deliver tax-effective capital & grossed-up income. Broad hunting ground: Core approach, drawing ideas from across the market cap spectrum. Income focus: Greater exposure to stocks with high grossed-up yield & dividend sustainability.
Investment objective	The objective of the Model Portfolio is to outperform the S&P/ASX300 (TR) Index on a rolling 3 year period, while delivering a higher gross yield than the market.
Benchmark	S&P/ASX 300 (TR) Index
Number of stocks	15-35 (28 as at 30 June 2022)
Sector limits	A-REITS 0-30%, Cash 2-10%
Dividend Yield	5.54% [#]

Top 10 holdings

Code	Name	Weight
BHP-AU	BHP Billiton Limited	12.78%
CSL-AU	CSL Limited	8.57%
TLS-AU	Telstra Corporation Limited	6.98%
CBA-AU	Commonwealth Bank of Australia	6.59%
NAB-AU	National Australia Bank Limited	6.27%
WBC-AU	Westpac Banking Corporation	5.27%
MTS-AU	Metcash Limited	5.15%
STO-AU	Santos Limited	3.70%
QBE-AU	QBE Insurance Group Limited	3.55%
NEC-AU	Nine Entertainment Co. Limited	3.25%

Source: Pendal as at 30 June 2022

Top 5 overweights versus S&P/ASX 300

Code	Name	Weight
MTS-AU	Metcash Limited	4.58%
TLS-AU	Telstra Corporation Limited	4.58%
NEC-AU	Nine Entertainment Co. Limited	3.22%
QAN-AU	Qantas Airways Limited	2.83%
STO-AU	Santos Limited	2.63%

Top 5 underweights versus S&P/ASX 300

Code	Name	Weight
WES-AU	Wesfarmers Limited (not held)	-2.41%
TCL-AU	Transurban Group Ltd. (not held)	-2.10%
WOW-AU	Woolworths Ltd. (not held)	-2.01%
RIO-AU	Rio Tinto Limited (not held)	-1.97%
GMG-AU	Goodman Group (not held)	-1.53%

Source: Pendal as at 30 June 2022

[#]The Portfolio's dividend yield represents the weighted average 12-month forward-looking dividend yield of the portfolio holdings (excluding cash), as at the date of the Factsheet. Each individual security's dividend yield is calculated using market consensus Dividend Per Share (DPS) before tax and franking credits, collated by Pendal and divided by the closing market price of the security as at the date of the Factsheet. The portfolio dividend yield therefore is only an estimate, and does not reflect the actual returns of the Fund, which will be affected by market movements in the price of individual securities, the returns on other assets such as cash holdings and variances of individual security's actual dividends from the forecasted DPS.

Performance

	1 month	3 month	6 month	1 year	3 year (p.a.)	5 year (p.a.)	Since inception (p.a.)*
Pendal Australian Tax Effective Income Portfolio	-8.80%	-10.76%	-7.20%	-5.06%	5.76%	7.44%	8.59%
S&P/ASX 300 (TR) Index	-8.97%	-12.22%	-10.39%	-6.78%	3.44%	6.90%	8.11%
Active return	0.16%	1.46%	3.19%	1.72%	2.32%	0.54%	0.48%

Source: Pendal as at 30 June 2022

*Since Inception - 14 September 2015

Performance returns are pre-fee. Investors should contact their platform provider for applicable fee rates.

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Top 5 contributors - monthly

Code	Name	Value Added
TLS-AU	Telstra Corporation Limited	0.36%
MTS-AU	Metcash Limited	0.34%
QBE-AU	QBE Insurance Group Limited	0.24%
VEA-AU	Viva Energy Group Ltd.	0.16%
CSL-AU	CSL Limited	0.15%

Top 5 contributors - 1 year

Code	Name	Value Added
<i>SQ2-AU</i>	<i>Block, Inc. Shs (not held)</i>	<i>0.92%</i>
MTS-AU	Metcash Limited	0.78%
VEA-AU	Viva Energy Group Ltd.	0.62%
TLS-AU	Telstra Corporation Limited	0.60%
<i>WES-AU</i>	<i>Wesfarmers Limited (not held)</i>	<i>0.52%</i>

Source: Pendal as at 30 June 2022

Underweight positions are in italics.

Top 5 detractors - monthly

Code	Name	Value Added
EVN-AU	Evolution Mining Limited	-0.35%
QAN-AU	Qantas Airways Limited	-0.30%
NEC-AU	Nine Entertainment Co. Limited	-0.28%
<i>WOW-AU</i>	<i>Woolworths Ltd. (not held)</i>	<i>-0.22%</i>
<i>TCL-AU</i>	<i>Transurban Group Ltd. (not held)</i>	<i>-0.22%</i>

Top 5 detractors - 1 year

Code	Name	Value Added
NEC-AU	Nine Entertainment Co. Limited	-1.25%
EVN-AU	Evolution Mining Limited	-0.56%
WDS-AU	Woodside Petroleum Ltd	-0.56%
XRO-AU	Xero Limited	-0.46%
JHX-AU	James Hardie Industries	-0.34%

Stock specific drivers of monthly performance relative to benchmark

Three largest contributors

Overweight Telstra (TLS, -0.8%)

Telstra held up much better than the broader market in June as investors sought defensive exposure. The company announced price increases across mobile phone contracts, to take place from July. Improvement in this division underpins a more predictable outlook for the company's earnings and cash flow, which should be seen favourably in the current environment.

Overweight Metcash (MTS, -1.6%)

Metcash benefited from the rotation to defensives in June. It delivered a strong set of results late in the month. The IGA franchise division continues to do well, holding share and benefiting from strong food inflation. Liquor revenue grew 9% for the year. Hardware also continue to do well, with strong DIY demand driving further growth off an already strong base. Earnings beat consensus expectations, as did the dividend.

Overweight QBE Insurance (QBE, +1.0%)

The insurance sector has generally outperformed in the last few months, as higher bond yields should provide a tailwind for margins. At the same time, premium pricing have been rising, helping offset rising claims inflation and structural issues posed by higher provisioning and reinsurance costs for weather-related events. QBE has done better than the domestically-focused insurers, where the market has been concerned about exposure to recent flooding events.

Three largest detractors:

Overweight Evolution Mining (EVN, -38.0%)

Gold miners are faced with a squeeze as cost inflation - for labour, diesel and power - continues to rise while the price of gold has remained steady. This has dragged on the gold mining sector as a whole, though EVN took an additional hit as management downgraded production guidance as Covid has disrupted labour supply. EVN remains among the lowest cost gold producers.

Overweight Qantas (QAN, -18.9%)

Concerns that the tightening cycle could drive the US economy into recession weighed on cyclicals in S&P 500, including on airline stocks and travel-related Australian stocks such as Qantas followed suit. A trading update from QAN late in the month Confirmed that demand remains strong. It reduced capacity in order to offset high fuel prices and continued to reduce debt ahead of schedule.

Overweight Nine Entertainment (NEC, -17.1%)

Nine was dragged down with other cyclicals as the market expressed concern that a tightening cycle could result in recession. The company is seeing no signs of slowing in demand for advertising and its free to air television rating remain strong. It was also less of a Covid beneficiary than other domestic cyclicals, so does not have to contend with the slowdown from a higher base. We see good value at 9.0x consensus next twelve month earnings and an 8.0% dividend yield.

Market outlook

The portfolio outperformed the index in June, helped by the more defensive exposures in telecom, consumer staples and health care.

We maintain a cautious near term outlook for equities. It is increasingly hard to see how the US avoids a recession from here.

First the lagged effect of inflationary pressures means we are unlikely to see much relief on this front given the fuel, food, and shelter components are still rising. This gives the Fed little room to back off hikes. Second, while overall economic activity remains reasonable, the lead indicators are deteriorating.

These include the “rule of ten” observation of mortgage rates and petrol prices - which has a good track record of predicting recessions - and falling house prices which can affect consumption. This is feeding through to a fall in the Atlanta Fed’s GDP tracker and other GDP forecasts rolling over.

This economic risk has implications for the market. The market is currently discounting a material drop in earnings, while the latter continue to hold up. Since 1987 we have only seen this disconnection twice, in 2002 and 2011, where markets overstated risk.

However in 2000, 2008 and 2020 the earnings caught up with the market and therein lies the risk if the US and global economy go into a recession.

Valuations in the markets other than the US - including Australia - are lower and provide some protection. But we remain wary of how the market performs as downgrades come through.

While the risk is material, this bearish scenario is not a certainty. Factors which could see a better outcome include:

- Inflation momentum slows more quickly than now expected. There are some signs of hope with higher inventory at US retailers and evidence of discounting appearing. The fall in the oil price is a very important lead if sustained.
- Labour markets loosen up sooner. We have seen announcements from the tech sector on layoffs, but collectively this is not sufficient. On the supply side perhaps inflation and the crypto bust help drive participation higher.
- Supply chains begin to ease up as China re-opens and demand softens.

Should these factors start to play out we may see the Fed swerve again and not be as aggressive on rates. It would still be unclear whether this is enough to avoid a recession; but in the market’s eyes it would at least signal the depth of the downturn could be lower.

One flag which is likely to mark the low in this cycle is the passing of time. This market looks closer in nature to 2000 and 2008 where the market had to consolidate near its lows for a number of months before sentiment improved - unlike the sharp policy-driven bounce of 2020.

This is a challenging environment for portfolio construction, however we believe it plays to the strength of our approach.

Our strategy is underpinned by identifying mis-priced stocks by “anticipating change,” and building a portfolio that manages thematic risk and is primarily dependent on stock-specific alpha - rather than a particular macro pathway or outcome - to perform.

Both elements can be seen in the way we approach portfolio construction in this environment. We have a range of thematic exposures, such that performance is not dependent on a particular outcome or pathway in terms of the key macroeconomic uncertainties currently at play.

Within this framework, we are finding stocks which have a company-specific aspect which can support valuation and/or drive outperformance. A key element of this at the moment is an emphasis on pricing power and of cash flow that has resilience even if we do see economic momentum start to slow.

New stocks added and/or stocks sold to zero during the month

No stocks added or sold to zero during the month.

For more information contact your
key account manager or visit pendalgroup.com

PENDAL

This monthly commentary has been prepared by Pendal Institutional Limited ABN 17 126 390 627, AFSL 316455 (**Pendal**) and the information contained within is current as at the date of this monthly commentary. It is not to be published, or otherwise made available to any person other than the party to whom it is provided.

This monthly commentary relates to the Pendal Australian Tax Effective Income Portfolio, a portfolio developed by Pendal. The portfolio composition for any individual investor may vary and the performance information shown may differ from the performance of an investor portfolio due to differences in portfolio construction or fees.

Performance figures are shown gross of fees and are calculated by tracking the value of a notional portfolio. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

This monthly commentary is for general information purposes only, should not be considered as a comprehensive statement on any matter and should not be relied upon as such. It has been prepared without taking into account any recipient's personal objectives, financial situation or needs. Because of this, recipients should, before acting on this information, consider its appropriateness having regard to their or their clients' individual objectives, financial situation and needs. This information is not to be regarded as a securities recommendation.

The information in this commentary may contain material provided by third parties, is given in good faith and has been derived from sources believed to be accurate as at its issue date. While such material is published with necessary permission, and while all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the information in this commentary is complete and correct, to the maximum extent permitted by law neither Pendal nor any company in the Pendal group accepts any responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness of this information.